



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/732,650 | 12/07/2000 | Binh T. Nguyen | IGT1P034 | 2570 |
| 22434 | 7590 | 09/06/2006 | EXAMINER | |
| BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP | | | NALVEN, ANDREW L | |
| P.O. BOX 70250 | | | ART UNIT | |
| OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250 | | | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2134 | |

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Response to Rule 312 Communication | Application No. 09/732,650 | Applicant(s) NGUYEN, BINH T. | |
| | Examiner Andrew L. Nalven | Art Unit 2134 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 14 March 2006 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☒ entered.

b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.

c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below.

e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

A notice of allowance was mailed 18 January 2006. The issue fee was subsequently paid on 20 April 2006. An information disclosure statement was submitted by Applicant 17 February 2004 and receipt of the IDS was confirmed. However, the IDS was not properly entered into the record. Examiner has now considered the IDS dated 17 February 2004 and has determined that the previously allowed claims are allowable in view of the references cited in the IDS.

Jacques Louis Jacques
JACQUES LOUIS JACQUES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100